

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Shanaube, K; Hargreaves, J; Fielding, K; Schaap, A; Lawrence, KA; Hensen, B; Sismanidis, C; Menezes, A; Beyers, N; Ayles, H; Godfrey-Faussett, P (2011) Risk Factors Associated with Positive QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube and Tuberculin Skin Tests Results in Zambia and South Africa. PLoS One, 6 (4). e18206. ISSN 1932-6203 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018206

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/809/>

DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0018206](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018206)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

Risk Factors Associated with Positive QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube and Tuberculin Skin Tests Results in Zambia and South Africa

Kwame Shanaube^{1*}, James Hargreaves², Katherine Fielding², Ab Schaap^{1,2}, Katherine-Anne Lawrence⁴, Bernadette Hensen³, Charalambos Sismanidis⁵, Angela Menezes⁴, Nulda Beyers⁴, Helen Ayles^{1,3}, Peter Godfrey-Faussett³

1 ZAMBART Project, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia, **2** Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, **3** Department of Clinical Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, **4** Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa, **5** Stop TB Department, Monitoring and Evaluation Unit, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

Introduction: The utility of T-cell based interferon-gamma release assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection remains unclear in settings with a high burden of tuberculosis.

Objectives: To determine risk factors associated with positive QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) and tuberculin skin test (TST) results and the level of agreement between the tests; to explore the hypotheses that positivity in QFT-GIT is more related to recent infection and less affected by HIV than the TST.

Methods: Adult household contacts of tuberculosis patients were invited to participate in a cross-sectional study across 24 communities in Zambia and South Africa. HIV, QFT-GIT and TST tests were done. A questionnaire was used to assess risk factors.

Results: A total of 2,220 contacts were seen. 1,803 individuals had interpretable results for both tests, 1,147 (63.6%) were QFT-GIT positive while 725 (40.2%) were TST positive. Agreement between the tests was low ($\kappa = 0.24$). QFT-GIT and TST results were associated with increasing age (adjusted OR [aOR] for each 10 year increase for QFT-GIT 1.15; 95% CI: 1.06–1.25, and for TST aOR: 1.10; 95% CI 1.01–1.20). HIV positivity was less common among those with positive results on QFT-GIT (aOR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.39–0.67) and TST (aOR: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.46–0.82). Smear positivity of the index case was associated with QFT-GIT (aOR: 1.25; 95% CI: 0.90–1.74) and TST (aOR: 1.39; 95% CI: 0.98–1.98) results. We found little evidence in our data to support our hypotheses.

Conclusion: QFT-GIT may not be more sensitive than the TST to detect risk factors associated with tuberculous infection. We found little evidence to support the hypotheses that positivity in QFT-GIT is more related to recent infection and less affected by HIV than the TST.

Citation: Shanaube K, Hargreaves J, Fielding K, Schaap A, Lawrence K-A, et al. (2011) Risk Factors Associated with Positive QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube and Tuberculin Skin Tests Results in Zambia and South Africa. PLoS ONE 6(4): e18206. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206

Editor: Madhukar Pai, McGill University, Canada

Received: October 29, 2010; **Accepted:** February 23, 2011; **Published:** April 4, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Shanaube et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This manuscript/work was supported by the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND). Further support was provided by a subcontract from Johns Hopkins University with funds provided (Grant No. 19790.01) from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation via the CREATE (Consortium to Respond Effectively to AIDS and Tuberculosis Epidemic) consortium. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: kshanaube@zambart.org.zm

Introduction

Tuberculosis continues to be a major public health problem in sub-Saharan Africa. The incidence of tuberculosis [1] is being accelerated by high rates of HIV co-infection [2,3]. Targeted testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) especially among HIV positive individuals is an important strategy to reduce the incidence of tuberculosis [4].

Currently, LTBI detection relies on the tuberculin skin test (TST) in most countries with high incidence of tuberculosis although this is not routinely performed and is perceived as a

barrier to accessing TB preventive therapy [5]. However, TST has many reported limitations. These include low specificity in populations with high levels of BCG vaccination or significant exposure to non-tuberculosis mycobacteria (NTM), and reduced sensitivity in immunocompromised individuals such as those with HIV infection [6,7].

T-cell based interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) such as the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) can now also be used to detect LTBI [8]. IGRAs are in-vitro blood tests based on interferon- γ release after T-cell stimulation by antigens more specific to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) than the purified protein




Figure 1. Flow diagram of study participants. QFT-GIT (QuantIFERON-TB Gold In Tube) not done was due to refusal (18.4%), being absent (18.3%), insufficient blood samples (0.4%) or missing data (1.6%). TST (Tuberculin skin test) not done was due to refusal (5.6%), not returning for reading (3.6%) or missing data (0.8%). Individuals with QFT-GIT/TST not done and those with indeterminate QFT-GIT results were excluded from analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.g001

derivative used in TST. IGRAs are therefore designed to have high specificity that is unaffected by BCG vaccination and cross-reactivity with most NTM [8]. There is also some evidence of greater sensitivity among HIV positive individuals [9,10] compared to the TST. Current literature suggests that IGRAs detect responses of effector T-cells that have recently encountered antigens *in vivo*, while TST reflects the mobilization of a wider spectrum of memory T-cells that are long-lived [11].

The use of IGRAs in developed countries is rapidly expanding but their performance in settings with a high prevalence of tuberculosis and HIV still requires further research [12,13]. There is growing evidence that IGRAs performance varies in different

settings [14]. In high-TB burden settings, the results of IGRAs may be influenced by factors that affect the immune response [15] such as HIV co-infection, BCG vaccination, malnutrition, tropical infections and widespread exposure to NTM. Recent studies done in low and middle income settings [16] showed a large reduction in the proportion of positive test results for both QFT-GIT and TSPOT in HIV infected individuals. Another recent study in Bangladesh showed that malnutrition and helminth infections were associated with indeterminate QFT-GIT results in children [17].

Significant challenges exist in directly assessing whether IGRAs are superior to TST in diagnosing LTBI as there remains no gold

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population.

	Study participants n (column %)
Total	2220
Sex	
Male	666 (30.1)
Female	1545 (69.9)
Missing	9
Age group (years)	
15–24	835 (38.3)
25–34	556 (25.5)
35–44	302 (13.9)
45–54	246 (11.3)
55–64	134 (6.1)
>65	106 (4.9)
Missing	41
Age in years: Median 28 (IQR:21–42); mean 33	
Highest level of education	
Not attended school	142 (6.5)
Primary school	689 (31.9)
Secondary school	1126 (52.1)
College or University	203 (9.4)
Missing	60
Smoking habits	
Daily smoker	220 (10.0)
Occasional smoker	73 (3.3)
Ex-smoker	104 (4.7)
Never smoked	1801 (81.9)
Missing	22
¹Alcohol consumption	
No	1648 (75.2)
Yes	544 (24.8)
Missing	28
Household size (adults)	
1–3	331 (15.0)
4–6	848 (38.4)
7–9	554 (25.1)
≥10	477 (21.6)
Missing	10
HIV status	
Negative	1271 (62.4)
Positive	765 (37.6)
Missing	184
Smear status of index	
Smear negative	741 (49.2)
Smear positive	766 (50.8)
Missing	713

¹Defined as alcohol consumption four weeks prior to the interview.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.t001

[18,19,20,21]. Studies from low-TB burden countries indicate that the IGRAs correlate better, along a gradient of exposure, than the TST [14]. Nevertheless, in high-TB burden settings, the TST performs reasonably well and correlates as well, or better, with proxy measures of exposure [14]. There are limited data on the comparison of QFT-GIT and TST in relation to *Mtb* exposure as a surrogate measure of infection and the influence of age [19].

In this study, we describe the prevalence of tuberculous infection among household contacts of recently diagnosed tuberculosis patients as measured by QFT-GIT and TST in 24 communities with a high prevalence of TB and HIV in Zambia and South Africa. We also determine risk factors associated with positive QFT-GIT and TST results and the level of agreement between the tests in each community. We use data from two recent TST surveys [22] to explore the correlation between community TB transmission and infection prevalence in contacts as measured by QFT-GIT and TST. A TST survey, if conducted correctly and technically interpretable, allows an estimation of the extent of *Mtb* transmission that has occurred in the community [23].

Finally, we formally assess the extent to which our results are compatible with expected findings on the basis of a number of prior hypotheses about the characteristics of each test. Previous studies have given rise to prevailing views about the expected performance of both TST and IGRAs [7,11,14,16]. We therefore explore whether our data support the hypotheses that positivity in QFT-GIT is more related to recent infection and less affected by HIV than the TST.

Methods

Ethics statement

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the research ethics committees of the University of Zambia, the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine and Stellenbosch University. All individuals involved in the study gave written informed consent.

Study setting

This cross-sectional study was nested within a large community randomized trial of interventions to reduce tuberculosis transmission, the Zambia South Africa TB and AIDS Reduction Study, (ZAMSTAR) in 24 selected communities in Zambia and South Africa [24]. We defined a “community” as the population (minimum size of 25,000) accessing one tuberculosis diagnostic centre and this was the unit of randomization for the ZAMSTAR trial. The communities selected were in five provinces of Zambia (16 communities) and in Western Cape Province of South Africa (8 communities) and included both urban and rural communities. The design of the ZAMSTAR study is described elsewhere [24,25].

Baseline measurement of tuberculous infection in all ZAMSTAR communities was estimated by means of TST surveys among primary school children [22]. These community-wide surveys served three objectives: to characterize ZAMSTAR communities, with regards to TB infection, in relative terms; to inform the randomization of the communities into intervention arms; and to provide data for one of ZAMSTAR’s secondary outcomes.

Zambia and South Africa have among the highest tuberculosis incidence [26] and HIV seroprevalence rates [27] in Africa and globally. The estimated HIV prevalence in new tuberculosis cases is 70% [26].

Participants

From April 2007 to July 2008 we recruited newly notified adult tuberculosis cases from the 24 ZAMSTAR communities, subse-

standard against which to compare either test. In the absence of a practical gold standard for *Mtb* infection, exposure to an infectious TB index case has been used as a surrogate measure of infection

Table 2. TB infection prevalence estimates and Cohen's kappa coefficients per community.

Community code	Geography	Urban/rural	¹ HIV prevalence	Number with both TST & QFT results	QFT-GIT positive (%)	TST positive (10 mm) (%)	Kappa
SA1	Province	Urban	Moderate	109	78	42	0.17
SA 3	Metropole	Urban	High	98	77	77	0.49
SA 6	Province	Rural	Moderate	70	77	27	0.15
Z 4	Lusaka	Urban	High	69	72	41	0.25
SA 5	Metropole	Urban	Moderate	52	71	62	0.28
Z 5	Copperbelt	Urban	High	45	71	13	−0.09
SA 2	Province	Rural	Moderate	87	70	28	0.16
SA 4	Metropole	Urban	High	140	68	61	0.18
Z1	Lusaka	Urban	High	93	67	49	0.36
Z 15	Luapula	Rural	Moderate	24	67	33	0.25
SA 7	Metropole	Urban	High	74	66	76	0.38
Z 11	Luapula	Rural	Moderate	40	65	73	0.02
SA 8	Metropole	Urban	High	124	65	24	−0.01
Z 7	Lusaka	Urban	High	92	61	38	0.19
Z 6	Lusaka	Urban	High	84	61	61	0.48
Z 8	Southern	Urban	High	121	60	42	0.41
Z 3	Copperbelt	Urban	High	48	60	17	0.16
Z 10	Central	Urban	High	68	59	34	0.08
Z 12	Copperbelt	Urban	High	97	59	15	0.19
Z 13	Central	Urban	High	103	50	23	0.16
Z 2	Copperbelt	Urban	High	90	48	28	0.32
Z 16	Southern	Rural	Moderate	18	44	17	0.16
Z 9	Southern	Urban	High	29	38	7	0.22
Z 14	Southern	Rural	Moderate	28	29	11	0.25

Communities arranged from highest to lowest TB infection prevalence estimates as defined by Quantiferon-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) test. SA: South African community; Z: Zambian community; TST (Tuberculin skin test). Geography, urban/rural and HIV prevalence as described elsewhere [22,25].

¹A panel of eight experts critically examined data from ante-natal clinic surveillance, prevention of mother-to-child transmission programmes, voluntary counseling and testing clinics and provincial demographic and health survey data and made an informed decision whether to categorize HIV prevalence as 'high' or 'moderate' for each community [25].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.t002




Figure 2. Scatter plot of positive QFT-GIT results in contacts and infection prevalence results from previous TST surveys. Previous TST surveys among children were conducted within the same communities as those of contacts. Infection prevalence in children was defined as TST ≥ 10 mm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.g002




Figure 3. Scatter plot of positive TST results in contacts and infection prevalence results from previous TST surveys. Previous TST surveys among children were conducted within the same communities as those of contacts. Infection prevalence in contacts and children was defined as TST ≥ 10 mm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.g003

quently referred to as index cases, to the study. All tuberculosis cases (pulmonary smear positive, smear negative or extrapulmonary) were eligible if recruited within a month after being notified in the tuberculosis register and started on treatment at a government clinic. We obtained written informed consent from those accepting to take part in the study. In addition, we sought permission from these index cases to visit their households where we invited household members to participate. We made at least three attempts to visit household members who were absent during the first visit to the household.

We defined household contacts as individuals at least 15 years old, who generally slept in the home, ate with the index case and who identified a common household head. We asked all household contacts for individual signed consent before participating in the study. This study focuses on this population of household contacts of newly diagnosed tuberculosis cases.

Measures

Consenting household contacts had blood drawn for HIV antibodies and QFT-GIT testing. Tuberculin skin tests were also performed. A standardized questionnaire was administered to all contacts by trained interviewers, who collected information on risk factors associated with tuberculous infection. Sputum microscopy for index cases was performed as part of the clinic routine services and the results were recorded in the TB registers.

HIV testing was done using the Abbot Murex HIV Ag/Ab combination ELISA (Murex Biotech, Dartford, United Kingdom). All individuals were encouraged to attend counseling and HIV testing at the local health centre. In South Africa, HIV positive individuals were advised to go for TB preventive therapy in accordance with National Tuberculosis Control Program guidelines [28] while in Zambia this is not yet government policy. However, in Zambia, preventive therapy was offered to eligible contacts through collaboration with another study operating in the ZAMSTAR sites.

QFT-GIT procedure. QFT-GIT test was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions [29]. For four Zambian and all the South African communities, QFT-GIT processing was done centrally at our research laboratories in

Lusaka and Stellenbosch University Medical School respectively. However, for twelve Zambian remote communities, QFT-GIT processing was decentralized. In these communities, blood samples were collected, incubated, separated and stored locally. Tubes were incubated for 16–24 hours at 37°C and plasma was harvested and frozen at -20°C . Frozen samples from these sites were transported monthly to the central laboratory in Lusaka where the ELISA was performed manually in batches.

TST procedure. The skin testing was conducted using 2 TU (Tuberculin Units) of PPD RT23 with Tween, supplied by the Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark). All tests were administered and read by nurses who were trained according to the standard IUATLD protocol [23]. A dose of 0.1 ml was injected intradermally on the left forearm. Skin reactions were read using calipers 72 hours later. A positive TST was defined as an induration of ≥ 10 mm. Blood for QFT-GIT was drawn before TST was administered usually on the same day.

Statistical analysis

Data were double entered into a "Microsoft SQL Server" database and checked for errors. Analysis was performed using STATA (version 11.0).

The characteristics of the study population were described using frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and the median and interquartile range for quantitative variables. *Prevalence of infection* was defined as the number of QFT-GIT or TST positive results divided by the total number of individuals with interpretable (positive and negative) results. Individuals having missing TST or indeterminate QFT-GIT results were excluded from the analysis. These did not differ significantly from those that had interpretable results (results not shown). Furthermore, household contacts on TB treatment were excluded from analysis.

The level of agreement between test results was assessed for each community using Cohen's kappa coefficient. By convention, kappa values of less than 0.4 generally indicate poor agreement. Correlation between continuous interferon- γ values (IU/ml) and TST induration (mm) was assessed using Spearman's correlation coefficient for each community.

Table 3. Univariable and multivariable odds ratios showing risk factors associated with positive QuantiFERON-TB Gold In Tube assay results.

	QFT positive		
	n (row %)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ¹
Total	1147/1803 (63.6%)		
Sex			
Male	333(63.5)	1	1
Female	809 (63.7)	1.08 (0.76–1.25)	0.93 (0.72–1.20)
Missing	5		
Age group (years)			
15–24	417 (60.8)	1	1
25–34	276 (61.3)	1.00 (0.74–1.34)	1.00 (0.74–1.34)
35–44	159 (66.5)	1.35 (0.93–1.96)	1.34 (0.92–1.94)
45–54	139 (68.5)	1.49 (1.0–2.22)	1.47 (0.98–2.20)
55–64	87 (75.6)	2.46 (1.43– 4.23)	2.56 (1.47–4.48)
>65	53 (64.6)	1.42 (0.79– 2.53)	1.46 (0.79–2.70)
Missing	16		
Highest level of education			
Not attended school	75 (64.7)	1	1
Primary school	378 (67.1)	1.11 (0.68–1.81)	1.40 (0.82–2.38)
Secondary school	551 (60.9)	0.79 (0.49–1.28)	0.99 (0.57–1.71)
College or University	111 (67.3)	1.12 (0.62–2.02)	1.40 (0.73–2.69)
Missing	32		
Smoking habits			
Never smoked	913 (62.7)	1	1
Ex-smoker	42 (53.9)	0.73 (0.43–1.24)	0.60 (0.34–1.07)
Occasional smoker	43 (70.5)	1.40 (0.73–2.66)	1.13 (0.57–2.25)
Daily smoker	137 (72.9)	1.69 (1.14–2.50)	1.14(0.73–1.77)
Missing	12		
Alcohol consumption			
No	844 (62.9)	1	1
Yes	285 (65.4)	1.11 (0.85–1.45)	1.04 (0.78–1.38)
Missing	18		
Household size (adults)			
1–3	170 (61.8)	1	1
4–6	415 (62.7)	1.03 (0.73–1.46)	1.30 (0.90–1.87)
7–9	302 (64.4)	1.14 (0.78– 1.67)	1.46 (0.97–2.19)
≥10	258 (65.3)	1.16 (0.77–1.75)	1.68(1.09–2.61)
Missing	2		
HIV status			
Negative	728 (69.0)	1	1
Positive	335 (54.6)	0.48 (0.37–0.61)	0.51 (0.39–0.67)
Missing	84		
Smear status of index			
Smear negative	373 (60.8)	1	1
Smear positive	426 (67.6)	1.48 (1.09– 2.01)	1.25 (0.90–1.74)
Missing	348		
Sleeping proximity to index			
Different house	72(57.6)	1	1
Same house	355 (63.6)	1.31 (0.78–2.18)	1.07 (0.61–1.86)
Same room	36 (56.3)	0.92 (0.42–2.02)	1.19 (0.52–2.72)

Table 3. Cont.

	QFT positive		
	n (row %)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ¹
Same bed	142 (62.3)	1.26 (0.71–2.23)	1.16 (0.62–2.14)
Unknown	221 (63.1)	1.26 (0.73–2.16)	1.10(0.61–1.99)
Missing	321		

¹Odds ratios-adjusted for sex, age and community using random effects logistic regression.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.t003

The distribution of positive reactions to each test in relation to established individual and household level risk factors for LTBI was described. The strength of relationship between risk factors and QFT-GIT/TST positivity was assessed using random effects logistic regression. The random effects approach specified the household of residence as the clustering variable. All models were adjusted for age, sex and community of residence. We present adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each risk factor.

Finally, we formally assessed four hypotheses related to the expected performance of the tests. We explored whether our data were compatible with expected findings on the basis of a number of prior hypotheses about the characteristics of each test. Ideally, we would have had a gold standard measure of LTBI against which to compare both tests. However, no such test currently exists. Furthermore, the natural history of LTBI remains a source of debate [11] and as in other studies of LTBI we had no direct measure of exposure to *Mtb* either recently or in the past. However, we did have data on three proxies related to prior exposure to *Mtb* (age) or recent exposure to *Mtb* (proximity to and infectivity of the index case).

Thus, we tested: (i) whether HIV infection was associated with a negative TST result, among those with a positive QFT-GIT, since we expect HIV infection to cause more false negative results with TST than QFT-GIT. We restricted the analysis to individuals with a positive QFT-GIT result and used random effects logistic regression models as described previously.

We then explored: (ii) whether age was more strongly associated with a positive TST result than with a positive QFT-GIT result since we expected TST to be more likely to detect evidence of lifetime infection with *Mtb* while QFT-GIT was more likely to detect recent infections; (iii) whether living with a smear positive index case was more strongly associated with a positive QFT-GIT result than a positive TST result, since we expected that QFT-GIT would be more strongly associated with recent infections than TST; and (iv), for the same reason as (iii), whether sleeping in the same room as the index case was more strongly associated with QFT-GIT than TST positivity.

For these final three hypotheses we conducted matched-pairs analysis using conditional logistic regression in an approach similar to that used by Ewer and others (21), where the outcome is the result of the TST or QFT-GIT test. We used Wald tests to assess the strength of evidence for an interaction between the test used and the factor of interest (age, living with a smear positive index case, sleeping in same room as index case). In these models we specified the individual identifier to indicate the paired data, and calculated confidence intervals using robust standard errors taking account of household level clustering.

Results

Figure 1 shows the flow chart of the study participants. A total of 2220 contacts were recruited across the 24 communities. As shown in table 1, the study population was predominantly women

(69.9%), most had attended secondary education (52.1%) and had no history of smoking (81.9%) or alcohol consumption (75.2%). 765/2036 (37.6%) of household contacts were HIV positive, and 766/1507 (50.8%) lived with a smear positive TB index case.

A total of 1803 individuals had interpretable results available for both QFT-GIT and TST (figure 1). Of these, 1147 (63.6%) tested positive with QFT-GIT while 725 (40.2%) tested positive with TST (figure 1). In all but two communities infection prevalence as measured by QFT-GIT was higher than that of TST (table 2). Overall, infection prevalence as measured by TST was higher for South African communities (arithmetic mean 50%, range 24–77%) than for the Zambian communities (arithmetic mean 31%, range 7–73%). Results were similar for QFT-GIT (results not shown).

There were 577 (32%) individuals with concordant positive results and 508 (28.2%) had concordant negative results. QFT+/TST– discordant results were more common than QFT–/TST+ results (31.6% vs 8.2%). There was a low level of agreement overall in the 24 communities (% agreement = 60.2%; kappa = 0.24 (arithmetic mean 0.23, range –0.09–0.49)), and in each of the communities (range of kappas: 0–0.49) (table 2). Using different cut-off values for the TST did not improve overall test agreement in the 24 communities (kappa: 0.26, 0.24 and 0.14 for TST cutoffs of 5, 10 and 15 mm respectively). When results were stratified by HIV status, agreement appeared slightly better in HIV positive (% agreement = 65.1%; kappa 0.322) compared to HIV negative (% agreement = 57.4%; kappa 0.19) contacts. There was a positive correlation (correlation coefficient square, $r^2 = 0.372$) between positive QFT-GIT results in contacts and infection prevalence results in children (figures 2), however, this was weaker for the TST (correlation coefficient square, $r^2 = 0.155$) (figure 3).

Tables 3 and 4 show risk factors associated with positive QFT-GIT and TST results respectively. Both QFT-GIT and TST results were associated with increasing age (adjusted OR [aOR] for each 10 year increase for QFT-GIT 1.15; 95% CI: 1.06–1.25; $p < 0.001$ for linear trend, and for TST aOR: 1.10; 95% CI 1.01–1.20; $p = 0.025$ for linear trend). HIV positivity was less common among those with positive results on QFT-GIT (aOR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.39–0.67; $p < 0.001$) and TST (aOR: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.46–0.82; $p = 0.001$).

There was some evidence of an association between smear positivity of the index and QFT-GIT (aOR: 1.25; 95% CI: 0.90–1.74) and TST (aOR: 1.39; 95% CI: 0.98–1.98) results.

Both QFT-GIT (aOR for household size: 1.04; 95% CI: 1.00–1.09, $p = 0.65$ for linear trend) and TST (aOR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.93–1.01, $p = 0.005$ for linear trend) results were not associated with increasing household size.

Finally, we tested the four specific hypotheses described in the methods. As shown in table 5, we found little evidence to support the hypotheses that positivity in QFT-GIT is more related to recent infection and less affected by HIV than the TST.

Table 4. Univariable and multivariable odds ratios showing risk factors associated with positive tuberculin skin test results.

	TST ≥ 10 mm		
	n (row %)	Crude OR (95% CI)	¹ Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Total	725/1803 (40.2%)		
Sex			
Male	203 (38.7)	1	1
Female	520 (40.9)	1.22 (0.92–1.62)	1.18 (0.90 – 1.56)
Missing	2		
Age group (years)			
15–24	263 (38.4)	1	1
25–34	177 (39.3)	1.10 (0.79–1.54)	1.05 (0.77–1.45)
35–44	102 (42.7)	1.41 (0.93–2.13)	1.49 (1.00–2.21)
45–54	88 (43.3)	1.34(0.87–2.07)	1.34 (0.89–2.04)
55–64	58 (50.4)	2.01(1.17–3.47)	2.03 (1.19–3.45)
>65	28 (34.1)	1.05(0.55–2.00)	1.12 (0.58–2.17)
Missing	9		
Highest level of education			
Not attended school	39 (33.6)	1	1
Primary school	236 (41.9)	1.47 (0.85–2.55)	1.53 (0.88–2.66)
Secondary school	365 (40.3)	1.22 (0.72–2.10)	1.29 (0.72–2.31)
College or University	74 (44.8)	1.49 (0.77–2.90)	1.13 (0.57–2.25)
Missing	11		
Smoking habits			
Never smoked	573 (39.3)	1	1
Ex-smoker	32 (41.0)	1.19 (0.64–2.20)	1.09 (0.59–2.02)
Occasional smoker	31 (50.8)	1.51 (0.75–3.02)	1.26 (0.63–2.54)
Daily smoker	85 (45.2)	1.33 (0.87–2.02)	1.10 (0.70–1.73)
Missing	4		
Alcohol consumption			
No	544 (40.6)	1	1
Yes	174 (39.9)	0.93 (0.68–1.27)	0.94 (0.69–1.28)
Missing	7		
Household size (adults)			
1–3	127 (46.2)	1	1
4–6	261 (39.4)	0.68 (0.44–1.03)	0.77 (0.52–1.13)
7–9	192 (40.9)	0.72 (0.46–1.14)	0.91 (0.59–1.40)
≥ 10	144 (36.5)	0.57 (0.35–0.94)	0.71 (0.44–1.13)
Missing	1		
HIV status			
Negative	465 (44.1)	1	1
Positive	207 (33.8)	0.57 (0.43–0.76)	0.61 (0.46 – 0.82)
Missing	53		
Smear status of index			
Smear negative	230 (37.5)	1	1
Smear positive	290 (46.0)	1.65 (1.15–2.36)	1.39 (0.98 – 1.98)
Missing	205		
Sleeping proximity to index			
Different house	43 (34.4)	1	1
Same house	202 (36.2)	1.08 (0.62–1.89)	0.76 (0.44–1.30)
Same room	20 (31.2)	0.80 (0.34–1.92)	0.94 (0.41–2.15)
Same bed	91 (39.9)	1.37(0.74–2.55)	0.80 (0.44–1.46)

Table 4. Cont.

	TST ≥ 10 mm		
	n (row %)	Crude OR (95% CI)	¹ Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Unknown	128 (36.6)	1.11 (0.61–2.00)	0.74 (0.41–1.33)
Missing	241		

¹Odds ratios-adjusted for sex, age and community using random effects logistic regression.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.t004

Discussion

We conducted a large scale evaluation of the prevalence of LTBI as detected by TST and QFT-GIT among household contacts of tuberculosis patients in 24 high HIV and TB prevalence communities in Zambia and South Africa. Our findings suggest a high prevalence of LTBI among this population. QFT-GIT estimates were higher than those of TST in all but two communities. LTBI prevalence was higher in South African communities compared to the Zambian ones, as in previous findings [22].

LTBI was more common among older individuals and those who were HIV negative, similar to previous studies in this setting [9,10,30]. HIV positivity was less common among those with positive results on QFT-GIT and TST. We found little evidence to support the hypothesis that HIV infection was associated with TST negativity among QFT-GIT positive individuals as would have been expected if HIV causes more false negatives with TST than QFT-GIT. Both TST and QFT-GIT are prone to false negatives results among different population groups [9,30]. In a study done in Zambia, low CD4+ counts in HIV positive TB patients were associated with increases in both indeterminate and false-negative QFT-GIT results [9]. Current evidence suggests that IGRAs perform similarly to the TST at identifying HIV-infected individuals with LTBI [16].

For both QFT-GIT and TST, prevalence of infection was higher in contacts exposed to smear positive index cases compared to smear negative ones, consistent with findings of other studies [31]. Sleeping proximity of the contact to the index case was not associated with either QFT-GIT or TST results. In contrast, a study done in Cape town found an association between *Mtb* contact scores and increasing exposure [19], similar to findings in the Gambia [21]. Both of these studies had smaller sample sizes compared to our study and were done among HIV negative [19] or few HIV positive contacts [21]. There is growing evidence suggesting a stronger and better defined association between surrogate markers for TB exposure and QFT-GIT results in low TB incidence settings compared to high-TB incidence settings [14,32,33,34] although this is still inconclusive.

Our results suggest that tuberculous infection in adults may often be unrelated to household transmission. It is well recognized that transmission of tuberculosis in high incidence settings occurs not only within households but in the community as well [35,36] among various social locations [37]. A study in Zimbabwe found that the proportion of ELISpot positive contacts was not different from community controls [31]. In another study done in two communities in Zambia, almost 50% of community controls were QFT-GIT positive [38]. In our study, positive QFT-GIT results in contacts correlated well with infection prevalence results from previous TST surveys, providing further evidence that community transmission seems to play a bigger role in positivity than household exposure. However, in a large study in Colombia,

IFN- γ responses to CFP-10 were consistently higher in household contacts of all ages compared to subjects in the source population [34]. Nevertheless, a seven day whole blood culture in-house assay was used, which primarily detects central memory responses. It has been argued that, in settings of high endemicity where a mixture of recent and old infections are commonly found, long term assays are more sensitive than those with shorter culture times [34].

We found little evidence in our matched pair analysis to support the idea that age was more strongly associated with a positive TST result than with a positive QFT-GIT result since we anticipated that TST was more likely to detect evidence of lifetime infection with *Mtb* while QFT-GIT was more likely to detect recent infections. Our results using conditional logistic regression showed that age was associated with positive QFT-GIT and TST results and there was a trend to increased responses with increasing age for both tests suggesting cumulative exposure to *Mtb*. In the study done in Colombia [34], exploration of IFN- γ variations by age revealed a trend to increased responses up to adulthood with CFP, but not with CFP-10, similar to observations in Uganda [39]. However, children were included in both of these studies.

We show a low level of agreement between the tests in all communities, consistent with findings of studies done in high-TB burden settings [19,31,40]. As IGRAs are designed to be more specific than TST, perfect agreement is not expected [41]. However, better agreement has been shown when the comparison is done within specific risk groups like HIV positives [42]. Although kappa statistics have been widely used as a measure of agreement between IGRAs and TST, alternative statistical approaches have recently been proposed such as latent class analysis [43] but have yet to gain wider acceptance. Similar to other studies reported from poor-resource settings [44], there were particularly high number of QFT-GIT+/TST- discordant results, in contrast to studies done in settings with low TB incidence [45,46] where TST+/QFT-GIT- discordance is more common.

Our study had both strengths and limitations. Ours is among the first studies to conduct both TST and QFT-GIT tests using a large sample size which illustrates the realistic implementation of QFT-GIT in a setting with a high burden of TB and HIV. However, as for all studies of this nature we had no gold standard measure of LTBI against which to compare our tests. As such, we were unable to comment directly on the accuracy of either test, but rather to compare the findings of each test in relation to prior beliefs about their properties. It is plausible that our failure to prove our hypotheses may have been due to test limitations typical in such high-TB burden settings. In addition, individuals in these settings may have mixed infections due to multiple *Mtb* exposure.

Our results may have been severely compromised by missing data on some risk factors. Despite efforts to standardize TST training and reading across the two countries, use of different teams may have contributed to inter-reader variability. Although most contacts reported that they had never smoked or taken alcohol, we believe this was due to reporting bias. We had no data

Table 5. Hypotheses of expected performance of QFT-GIT and TST in our setting and the results obtained.

Prevailing Understanding	Hypothesis	Result
TST is more likely to give false negative results in HIV positives than QFT-GIT.	1. HIV is a risk factor for TST negativity conditional on a QFT-GIT positive result.	Adjusted odds ratio for HIV on TST positivity among QFT-GIT positives = 0.94 (95% CI: 0.62–1.40) Wald-test $p = 0.75$
QFT-GIT positivity is related to recent acquisition of <i>Mtb</i> infection whilst TST detects old infections.	2. Age trend is stronger for TST than QFT-GIT because age is as proxy for likelihood of lifetime exposure to <i>Mtb</i> .	Wald-test for age*‘diagnostic test’ interaction parameter in conditional logistic regression; $p = 0.94$
	3. Stronger association between residence with a smear positive TB case and QFT-GIT positivity than for TST positivity, because smear status is a marker of infectivity and thus of likelihood of recent exposure to <i>Mtb</i> .	Wald-test for ‘smear status of index case’*‘diagnostic test’ interaction parameter in conditional logistic regression; $p = 0.45$
	4. Stronger association between sleeping in same room as index case and QFT-GIT positivity than for TST positivity, because sleeping in the same room is a marker of likelihood of recent exposure to <i>MTB</i> .	Wald-test for ‘sleeping in same room as index’*‘diagnostic test’ interaction parameter in conditional logistic regression; $p = 0.76$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018206.t005

on likely exposure to NTM which may provide an alternative reason for false positive results, especially with TST.

Probably the most important characteristic of tests of LTBI is the extent to which they predict subsequent clinical tuberculosis. The data we present here are cross-sectional in nature; however, they come from a larger longitudinal study whose participants have been followed up for later development of active TB.

Conclusion

QFT-GIT may not be more sensitive than the TST to detect risk factors associated with tuberculous infection. Given the lack of strong associations with either TST or QFT-GIT with risk factors generally accepted to be related to household infectivity, these results suggest that tuberculous infection in adults in these communities may often be unrelated to household transmission. We found little evidence to support the hypotheses that positivity

in QFT-GIT is more related to recent infection and less affected by HIV than the TST.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the ZAMSTAR study team which made this study possible. We would also like to thank the Ministry of Health, District Health Management teams and the communities where the study was undertaken for their help and advice.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HA NB CS PG-F. Performed the experiments: KS AM AS K-AL. Analyzed the data: KS JH KF PG-F. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AS K-AL CS. Wrote the paper: KS JH KF HA PG-F NB BH. Critical review of the paper: PG-F HA JH KF NB.

References

- Dye C, Watt CJ, Bleed DM, Hosseini SM, Raviglione MC (2005) Evolution of Tuberculosis Control and Prospects for Reducing Tuberculosis Incidence, Prevalence, and Deaths Globally. *JAMA* 293: 2767–2775.
- Daley CL, Small PM, Schecter GF, Schoolnik GK, McAdam RA, et al. (1992) An Outbreak of Tuberculosis with Accelerated Progression among Persons Infected with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus. *New England Journal of Medicine* 326: 231–235.
- Guelar A, Gatell JM, Verdejo J, Podzamczar D, Lozano L, et al. (1993) A prospective study of the risk of tuberculosis among HIV-infected patients. *Aids* 7: 1345–1349.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2000) Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *MMWR* 49(No. RR-6).
- World Health Organization, Department of HIV/AIDS, Stop TB Department (2011) Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained settings.
- Fine PE, Bruce J, Ponnighaus JM, Nkhosa P, Harawa A, et al. (1999) Tuberculin sensitivity: conversions and reversions in a rural African population. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 3: 962–975.
- Pai M, Zwerling A, Menzies D (2008) Systematic Review: T-Cell based Assays for the Diagnosis of Latent Tuberculosis Infection: An Update. *Ann Intern Med* 149: 177–184.
- Pai M, Kalantri S, Dheda K (2006) New tools and emerging technologies for the diagnosis of tuberculosis: part I. Latent tuberculosis. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 6: 413–422.
- Raby E, Moyo M, Devendra A, Banda J, De Haas P, et al. (2008) The effects of HIV on the sensitivity of a whole blood IFN- γ release assay in Zambian adults with active tuberculosis. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2489.
- Chapman AL, Munkanta M, Wilkinson KA, Pathan AA, Ewer K, et al. (2002) Rapid detection of active and latent tuberculosis infection in HIV-positive individuals by enumeration of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-specific T cells. *Aids* 16: 2285–2293.
- Mack U, Migliori GB, Sester M, Reider HL, Ehlers S, et al. (2009) LTBI: latent tuberculosis infection or lasting immune responses to *M. tuberculosis*? A TBNET consensus statement. *Eur Respir J* 33: 956–973.
- Canadian Tuberculosis Committee (2007) Interferon gamma release assays for latent tuberculosis infection. An Advisory Committee Statement (ACS). *Can Commun Dis Rep* 33: 1–18.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2005) Guidelines for preventing the transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in health-care settings, 2005. *MMWR* 54(No. RR-17): 1–141.
- Dheda K, Smit RVZ, Badri M, Pai M (2009) T-cell interferon-gamma release assays for the rapid immunodiagnosis of tuberculosis: clinical utility in high-burden vs. low-burden settings. *Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine* 15: 188–200.
- Lee JY, Choi HJ, Park IN, Hong SB, Oh YM, et al. (2006) Comparison of two commercial interferon-gamma assays for diagnosing *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *Eur Respir J* 28: 24–30.
- Cattamanchi A, Smith R, Steingart KR, Metcalfe JZ, Date A, et al. (2011) Interferon-gamma release assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV-infected individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 56: 230–238.
- Thomas TA, Mondal D, Noor Z, Liu L, Alam M, et al. (2010) Malnutrition and Helminth Infection Affect Performance of an Interferon {gamma}-Release Assay. *Pediatrics* 126: e1522–1529.
- Lalvani A, Pareek M (2010) Interferon gamma release assays: principles and practice. *Enfermedades infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica* 28: 245–252.
- Hesseling AC, Mandalakas AM, Kirchner HL, Chegou NN, Marais BJ, et al. (2009) Highly discordant T cell responses in individuals with recent exposure to household tuberculosis. *Thorax* 64: 840–846.
- Ewer K, Deeks J, Alvarez L, Bryant G, Waller S, et al. (2003) Comparison of T-cell-based assay with tuberculin skin test for diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in a school tuberculosis outbreak. *Lancet* 361: 1168–1173.
- Hill PC, Brookes RH, Fox A, Fielding K, Jeffries DJ, et al. (2004) Large-scale evaluation of enzyme-linked immunospot assay and skin test for diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection against a gradient of exposure in The Gambia. *Clin Infect Dis* 38: 966–973.
- Shanaube K, Sismanidis C, Ayles H, Beyers N, Schaap A, et al. (2009) Annual Risk of Tuberculous Infection Using Different Methods in Communities with a

- High Prevalence of TB and HIV in Zambia and South Africa. *PLoS ONE* 4: e7749.
23. Rieder HL, Chadha VK, Nagelkerke NJD, Van Leth F, VanderWerf MJ (2011) Guidelines for conducting tuberculin skin test surveys in high-prevalence countries. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 15: S1–S25.
 24. Ayles H, Sismanidis C, Beyers N, Hayes R, Godfrey-Faussett P (2008) ZAMSTAR, The Zambia South Africa TB and HIV Reduction study: Design of a 2×2 factorial community randomized trial. *Trials* 9: 63.
 25. Sismanidis C, Moulton LH, Ayles H, Fielding K, Schaap A, et al. (2008) Restricted randomization of ZAMSTAR: a 2×2 factorial cluster randomized trial. *Clinical Trials* 5: 316–327.
 26. World Health Organization (2009) Global tuberculosis control : epidemiology, strategy, financing report. WHO/HTM/TB/2009.411.
 27. Central Statistical Office (CSO), Ministry of Health (MOH), Tropical Diseases Research Centre (TDRC), University of Zambia, and Macro International Inc (2009) Zambia Demographic and Health Survey 2007. Calverton, Maryland, USA: CSO and Macro International Inc.
 28. Department of Health Republic of South Africa (2010) Guidelines for tuberculosis preventive therapy among HIV infected individuals in South Africa.
 29. Cellectis Ltd (2007) QuantiFERON -TB Gold (In-Tube Method) Package insert. Doc. No. 142 05990301B.
 30. Duncan LE, Elliott AM, Hayes RJ, Hira SK, Tembo G, et al. (1995) Tuberculin sensitivity and HIV-1 status of patients attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic in Lusaka, Zambia: a cross-sectional study. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 89: 37–40.
 31. Mutsavangwa J, Millington KA, Chaka K, Mavhudzi T, Cheung Y-B, et al. (2010) Identifying recent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission in the setting of high HIV and TB burden. *Thorax* 65: 315–320.
 32. Zellweger JP, Zellweger A, Ansermet S, de Senarclens B, Wrighton-Smith P (2005) Contact tracing using a new T-cell-based test: better correlation with tuberculosis exposure than the tuberculin skin test. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 9: 1242–1247.
 33. Pai M, Gokhale K, Joshi R, Dogra S, Kalantri SP, et al. (2005) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in health care workers in rural India: comparison of a whole-blood, interferon- γ assay with tuberculin skin testing. *JAMA* 293: 2746–2755.
 34. del Corral H, Paris SC, Marin ND, Marin DM, Lopez L, et al. (2009) IFN- γ responses to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, risk of infection and disease in household contacts of tuberculosis patients in Colombia. *PLoS ONE* 4: e8257.
 35. Schaaf HS, Michaelis IA, Richardson M, Booysen CN, Gie RP, et al. (2003) Adult-to-child transmission of tuberculosis: household or community contact? *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 7: 426–431(426).
 36. Verver S, Warren RM, Munch Z, Richardson M, van der Spuy GD, et al. (2004) Proportion of tuberculosis transmission that takes place in households in a high-incidence area. *Lancet* 363: 212–214.
 37. Classen CN, Warren R, Richardson M, Hauman JH, Gie RP, et al. (1999) Impact of social interactions in the community on the transmission of tuberculosis in a high incidence area. *Thorax* 54: 136–140.
 38. Boccia D, Hargreaves J, Ayles H, Fielding K, Simwanga M, et al. (2009) Tuberculosis infection in Zambia: the association with relative wealth. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 80: 1004–1011.
 39. Lewinsohn DA, Zalwango S, Stein CM, Mayanja-Kizza H, Okwera A, et al. (2008) Whole Blood Interferon-Gamma Responses to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Antigens in Young Household Contacts of Persons with Tuberculosis in Uganda. *PLoS ONE* 3: e3407.
 40. Mahomed H, Hughes EJ, Hawkrigde T, Minnies D, Simon E, et al. (2006) Comparison of mantoux skin test with three generations of a whole blood IFN- γ assay for tuberculosis infection. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 10: 310–316.
 41. Davidow AL, Affouf M (2008) Making sense of agreement among interferon-gamma release assays and tuberculosis skin testing. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 12: 152–159.
 42. Balcells ME, Pérez CM, Chanqueo L, Lasso M, Villanueva M, et al. (2008) A comparative study of two different methods for the detection of latent tuberculosis in HIV-positive individuals in Chile. *International journal of infectious diseases* 12: 645–652.
 43. Pai M, Dendukuri N, Wang L, Joshi R, Kalantri SP, et al. (August 2008) Improving the estimation of tuberculosis infection prevalence using T-cell-based assay and mixture models. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 12: 895–902(898).
 44. Adetifa IM, Lugos MD, Hammond A, Jeffries D, Donkor S, et al. (2007) Comparison of Two Interferon Gamma Release Assays in the diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection and disease in The Gambia. *BMC Infect Dis* 7: 122.
 45. Kang YA, Lee HW, Yoon HI, Cho B, Han SK, et al. (2005) Discrepancy Between the Tuberculin Skin Test and the Whole-Blood Interferon-gamma Assay for the Diagnosis of Latent Tuberculosis Infection in an Intermediate Tuberculosis-Burden Country. *JAMA* 293: 2756–2761.
 46. Harada N, Nakajima Y, Higuchi K, Sekiya Y, Rothel J, et al. (2006) Screening for tuberculosis infection using whole-blood interferon-gamma and Mantoux testing among Japanese healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 27: 442–448.